

March 24, 1994

When Sirohi called he asked for Dean Lehecka's secretary.

Sirohi said he wanted to ask me a question regarding a statement made in the letter that Dean Lehecka wrote to him. Sirohi said he wanted to know if the statement made in part 2 of Dean Lehecka's letter meant that he would not be charged with disciplinary action on past complaints. Since Dean McDermott reviewed the material and decided that he would not be charged. In my understanding he really wanted to know that the past complaint (evidence) was dead and would never again be used against him. ( Even though he did not say that it was clear that was his main concern.) He then wanted to clarify that only if new complaints were brought up would he be subject to disciplinary action. I told Ashish that I **assumed** that based on the statement Dean Lehecka made, Sirohi would not be charged with a violation of Columbia College rules if he were to readmit to the College. If new complaints arise however, (relating or not relating to the issue) he would not be exempt from disciplinary action. I made it perfectly clear to Ashish that I could not speak for Dean Lehecka or any other dean. Since I felt as if he was baiting me for more answers I said to him that if he did not understand the contents of the letter he should speak to Dean Lehecka. Ashish seemed satisfied with my response and did not ask me to tell you to call him. Perhaps an error on my part since I assumed he now understood and if he still had questions he would call you himself. After I got off the phone with him I went into your office and told you what I said. I did not tell you to call him because he did not ask to speak with you nor did he ask me to leave message. I assumed he would call you himself if he felt that was necessary.